ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING

IN RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL HEARING,

SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE -

NE/Corner Stansbury Road and * DEPUTY ZONING COMMISSIONER

BEFORE THE

Hydrangea Lane, and NW/S Rettman Lane

(1936 Rettman Lane) * OF BALTIMORE COUNTY

12th Election District

7th Councilmanic District * Case No. 96-497-SPHXA

Francis T. Leyden

Petitioner


* * * * * * * * * *

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

This matter comes before the Deputy Zoning Commissioner as Petitions for Special Hearing, Special Exception and Variance for that property known as 1936 Rettman Lane, located in the vicinity of Stansbury Park in Dundalk. The Petitions were filed by the owner of the property, Francis T. Leyden, through his attorney, John V. Murphy, Esquire. The Petitioner seeks approval of vehicular access through the R.C.-20 zoned portion of the subject property to the commercial recreational facility proposed, pursuant to the special exception request, and to permit the proposed commercial recreational facility uses to be located within the 100-foot area specified by Section 253.4 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.). In addition, the Petitioner requests a special exception to permit a commercial recreational facility on the subject property, pursuant to Section 253.2.D of the B.C.Z.R. (Council Bill No. 21-96), and variance relief from Section 409.8.A.2 of the B.C.Z.R. to permit a crusher run driveway and parking areas in lieu of the required durable and dustless surface, and from Section 409.8.A.6 of the B.C.Z.R. to waive the required striping of the parking area. The subject property and relief sought are more particularly described on the site plan submitted which was accepted and marked into evidence as Petitioner's Exhibit 1.

Appearing at the hearing on behalf of the Petition were Francis T. Leyden, owner of the property, Paul Lee, Professional Engineer who prepared the site plan, and John V. Murphy, Esquire, attorney for the Petitioner. There were no Protestants present.


Testimony and evidence offered revealed that the subject property consists of 7.64 acres, more or less, zoned M.L.-I.M., with a small sliver of R.C.20 zoned land along the northwest property line abutting Hydrangea Drive. The property, identified as Parcel A on the site plan, is part of larger tract of land owned by the Petitioner which has a gross area of 23.408 acres and is improved with a solid waste processing facility. entire tract is located within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas and fronts on Lynch Cove. Mr. Leyden proposes to develop the subject 7.64 acres with a commercial recreational facility, including a miniature golf and putting range, golf driving range, and baseball batting range, pursuant to Section 253 of the B.C.Z.R. Testimony indicated that Mr. Leyden has owned the property for many years and has struggled with locating a use thereon, given the long and narrow configuration of the property. One of the problems encountered by the Petitioner in pursuing this development proposal is the small 20-foot strip of R.C.20 zoned land located along the northwest property line of the subject site. In addition, Stansbury Park (a County-owned park) is located just across the street from the subject site on Hydrangea Drive. That property is also zoned R.C.20. Apparently, when the County Council drew the zoning line along the southeastern end of Stansbury Park, they encroached somewhat onto Mr. Leyden's property and into Hydrangea Drive, which is the access road to Mr. Leyden's property. Thus, a special hearing is necessary to allow vehicular access to the proposed commercial recreational facility to travel on R.C.-20 zoned land.



Furthermore, testimony revealed that the Petitioner has requested a special hearing to allow the proposed commercial recreational facility to be located within 100 feet of the R.C.20 zoned land. This portion of the Petitioner's request was made out of an abundance of caution, however, given that commercial recreational facilities are permitted within the R.C.20 zones.

As to the variance relief requested, the Petitioner wishes to continue to utilize Hydrangea Drive as its access road and to maintain same as a crusher run road. The Petitioner does not wish to pave the road, given the fact that his property is in close proximity to Lynch Cove and is included in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas. Therefore, the Petitioner wishes to leave the road as it presently exists and to provide a crusher run surface in the parking area to minimize impervious surface on the subject property. Naturally, since the Petitioner has requested crusher run parking and access, he has also requested a variance from the striping requirements for parking lots.

Finally, the special exception request is for a commercial recreational facility in the M.L.-I.M. zone property. In this regard, the request is made pursuant to the newly enacted County Council Bill No. 21-96. That Bill enables owners of M.L.-I.M.-zoned land, which is under-utilized, to be converted, as in this instance, to a commercial recreational facility involving golf, baseball batting ranges, and driving range. This use will be a particularly good buffer between Stansbury Park to the north and the heavy industrial uses to the south. Furthermore, the driving range area will maintain a nice grassy area between the proposed uses and Lynch Cove, thereby adding more protection to the Chesapeake Bay for the drainage and filtration of storm water runoff.



It is clear that the B.C.Z.R. permits the use proposed in a M.L.-I.M. zone by special exception. It is equally clear that the proposed use would not be detrimental to the primary uses in the vicinity. Therefore, it must be determined if the conditions as delineated in Section 502.1 are satisfied.

The Petitioner had the burden of adducing testimony and evidence which would show that the proposed use met the prescribed standards and requirements set forth in Section 502.1 of the B.C.Z.R. The Petitioner has shown that the proposed use would be conducted without real detriment to the neighborhood and would not adversely affect the public interest. The facts and circumstances do not show that the proposed use at the particular location described by Petitioner's Exhibit 1 would have any adverse impact above and beyond that inherently associated with such a special exception use, irrespective of its location within the zone. Schultz v. Pritts, 432 A.2d 1319 (1981).

The proposed use will not be detrimental to the health, safety, or general welfare of the locality, nor tend to create congestion in roads, streets, or alleys therein, nor be inconsistent with the purposes of the property's zoning classification, nor in any other way be inconsistent with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

After reviewing all of the testimony and evidence presented, it appears that the special exception should be granted with certain restrictions as more fully described below.

An area variance may be granted where strict application of the zoning regulations would cause practical difficulty to the Petitioner and his property. McLean v. Soley, 270 Md. 208 (1973). To prove practical difficulty for an area variance, the Petitioner must meet the following:



- 4-



ORDER RECEIVED FOR FILING
Date
By

- whether strict compliance with requirement would unreasonably prevent the use of the property for a permitted purpose or render conformance unnecessarily burdensome;
- 2) whether a grant of the variance would do a substantial justice to the applicant as well as other property owners in the district or whether a lesser relaxation than that applied for would give sufficient relief; and,
- 3) whether relief can be granted in such fashion that the spirit of the ordinance will be observed and public safety and welfare secured.

Anderson v. Bd. of Appeals, Town of Chesapeake Beach, 22 Md. App. 28 (1974).

After due consideration of the testimony and evidence presented, it is clear that practical difficulty or unreasonable hardship will result if the special hearing and variance relief are not granted. It has been established that special circumstances or conditions exist that are peculiar to the land or structure which is the subject of this variance request and that the requirements from which the Petitioner seeks relief will unduly restrict the use of the land due to the special conditions unique to this particular parcel. In addition, the variance requested will not cause any injury to the public health, safety or general welfare. Further, the granting of the Petitioner's request is in strict harmony with the spirit and intent of the B.C.Z.R.

Pursuant to the advertisement, posting of the property, and public hearing on these Petitions held, and for the reasons given above, the relief requested should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Deputy Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County this 6 day of August, 1996 that the Petition for Special Hearing to approve vehicular access through the R.C.-20 zoned

portion of the subject property to the commercial recreational facility proposed and to permit the proposed commercial recreational facility uses to be located within 100 feet of R.C.20 zoned land, pursuant to Section 253.4 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.), in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Special Exception to permit a commercial recreational facility on the subject property, pursuant to Section 253.2.D of the B.C.Z.R. (Council Bill No. 21-96), in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Petition for Variance seeking relief from Section 409.8.A.2 to permit a crusher run driveway and parking area in lieu of the required durable and dustless surface, and from Section 409.8.A.6 to waive the required striping of the parking area, in accordance with Petitioner's Exhibit 1, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject to the following restriction:

1) The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30-day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the relief granted herein shall be rescinded.

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

TMK:bjs



Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning



Suite 112 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

August 6, 1996

John V. Murphy, Esquire 14 N. Rolling Road Catonsville, Maryland 21228

RE: PETITIONS FOR SPECIAL HEARING, SPECIAL EXCEPTION AND VARIANCE NE/Corner Stansbury Road & Hydrangea Lane, & NW/S Rettman Lane (1936 Rettman Lane)
12th Election District - 7th Councilmanic District Francis T. Leyden - Petitioner
Case No. 96-497-SPHA

Dear Mr. Murphy:

Enclosed please find a copy of the decision rendered in the above-captioned matter. The Petitions for Special Hearing, Special Exception and Variance have been granted in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event any party finds the decision rendered is unfavorable, any party may file an appeal to the County Board of Appeals within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. For further information on filing an appeal, please contact the Zoning Administration and Development Management office at 887-3391.

Very truly yours,

TIMOTHY M. KOTROCO

Deputy Zoning Commissioner

for Baltimore County

TMK:bjs

cc: Mr. Francis T. Leyden 1936 Rettman Lane, Dundalk, Md. 21222

> Mr. Paul Lee 304 W. Pennsylvania Avenue, Towson, Md. 21204

People's Counsel

File



Petition for Special Hearing

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

for the property located at 1936 Rettman Lane.

96-497-SPHXA

which is presently zoned

ML-IM RC20

This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management.

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Special Hearing under Section 500.7 of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to determine whether or not the Zoning Commissioner should approve Vehicular access through

the RC-20 Zone to the Commericial Recreational Facility proposed and to allow the Commercial Recreational Facility uses as shown on the attached Plat within the 100 foot area specified by Section 253.4 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations.

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of Loove Special Hearing advertising, posting, etc., upon filling of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

•	I/We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owner(d) of the property which is the subject of this Petition.
Contract Purchaser/Lessee:	Lagai Owner(s);
(Type or Print Name)	Francis / T. Leyden (Type of Frant Name)
Signature	The fill the state of the state
Address	(Type or Print Name)
City State Zipcode	Signature
Attorney for Petitioner.	- 1936 Rettman Lane (410)282-0066
John V. Murchy, Esquire	Dundalk -MD 21222
(Fype or Print Name) Signature Signature	City State Zipcode Name, Address and phone number of representative to be contacted. John V. Murphy Name
14 N. Rolling Road 744_4967 Address Phone No.	14 N. Rolling Road (410)744-4967 Address Phone No.
Catorsville, Maryland 21278 City State Zipcooe	ESTIMATED LENGTH OF HEARING Unavailable for Hearing
MEDELLE MED	the following dates Next Two Months
1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	REVIEWED BY: MAKE G/14/96





DADER RECEIVED/FOR FILING

Petition for Special Exception

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

for the property located at 1936 Rettman Lane

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			-
712 -	-U9-7	-	٠ ٥
~	-497-		$+$ $\vee$ $\triangle$
	- ' /	$\sim$ ( $^{\prime}$	$1 \wedge T$

which is presently zoned

This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management.

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Saltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Special Exception under the Zoning Regulations of Saltimore County, to use the herein described property for Commercial Recreational Facility pursuant

to Section 253.2.D. (Council Bill 21-96) of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

I, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Special Exception advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

Contract Purchaser/Lassee:	We do solemnly declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the legal owners) of the property which is the subject of this Petition.  Legal Owners).
(Type or Print Name)	Francis T. Leyden Type or Firm Name;
Signature	Signary / Manager / Manage
Address	(Type or Print Name)
City State Zipcode	Signature
Attorney for Patitioner:	1936 Rettman Lane - (410)282-0066
John V. Murphy	Dundalk, MD 21222
greated John V. Murphy	Name, Address and phone number of representative to be contacted.  John V. Murphy
14 N. Rolling Road (410)744-4967  Catonsville MD 21228	Name  14 N. Rolling Road (410)744-4967  Address Phone No.
State Zipcode	CFFICE USE CALY ESTIMATED LENGTH OF HEARING  2 br
MICROFILMED	the following dates Next Two Months  ALL OTHER DATE 6 114/95

Paul Los P.E.

Paul Lee Engineering Inc.

304 W. Pennsylvania Ave.

Jowson, Maryland 21204

140 224 5044

### DESCRIPTION

PARCEL "A" - 7.64 ACRES +

PART OF OWL CORPORATION PROPERTY

12TH ELECTION DISTRICT - BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Beginning for the same at the intersection of the north side of Stansbury Road and the RC-20 and ML-IM zoning line as shown on the Baltimore County Zoning Map SE4F, scale 1"=200', said point also being along the 1st deed line of the property and located 675 feet + westerly from the center of Graves Court; thence running with and binding on the north side of Stansbury Road (1) N 52°12' W - 15 feet +, thence leaving said north side of Stansbury Road (2) N 39058' E - 903 feet, thence (3) N  $44^{\circ}43'$  E - 445 feet, (4) S  $64^{\circ}17'$  E - 23 feet  $^{+}$  to intersect the RC-20 and ML-Im zoning line as shown on the above mentioned zoning plat, thence continuing along the same line (5) S 64°17' E - 272 feet, (6) S 25°43' W 150 feet and (7) S 52°54'38" W - 579.74 feet to intersect the 3rd deed line of the property, thence binding reversely on part of the 3rd deed and on the 2nd deed line (8)N 52⁰12' W - 30 feet and (9) S 39⁰55' W -700.77 feet to intersect the 1st deed line and the north side of Stansbury Road, thence binding on the north side of Stansbury Road (10) N 52012' W 185 feet [±] to the point of beginning.

Subject to a highway widening along Stansbury Road and containing a net area of 7.64 acres of land more or less and referred to as Parcel A.

J.0. 92-023 5-15-96

MICO TO TO TO THE CONTROL OF THE CON

— Surceyors

Site Planners

# 497

### CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

ZONING DEPARTMENT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY 96-497-SPHXA

District 1348	Date of Posting 6/29/01
Posted for: Special Hearing +	Date of Posting 6/19/94-
Petitioner: Francis T	1
Location of property: 1936 Ro # 20	10 x 16 7 4
	<b>7</b>
Location of Signer Journey roodu	top on property being zoned
Remarks:	
Posted by Missely	Date of return: 7/1/96
Number of Signs:	_
	MICROFIL

OFFI	TIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND  CE OF FINA - REVENUE DIVISION  CELLANEOUS CASH RECEIPT  PG-477-58+XA
٤.	6/14/66 ACCOUNT 61-615 
RECE! FROM	VED John V. Murphy - 1936 Retimen Lane  C 70- Combination Sp Exercise Solliery #135000  9155.00  \$155.00

# NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zohing Commissionar of Baltimore County, by suitburly of the Zohing Act and Regulations of Baltimore County will inoid a public hearing on the property leferilitied hearing on the property leferilitied hearing on the property leferilities the chipty of the Schotz of the Schotz

Case #86-487 SPINA (Item 487)
(Item 487)
1936 Retirmen Lene corrier of NES Stansbury Road and SES Hydranger

2th Election District

7th Councilmanic Legal Owner(s): Francis T. Leydan Spatial Hearing: to approve vehicular access through the R.C.-20 zone to the commercial recreational facility proposed and to allow the commercial recreational facility uses as shown on the plat within the 100-toot area specified. Spatial Exception: for commercial recreational facility. Variance: to allow crusher run driveway and parking areas in fleu of the required durable and to walke the required and to walke the requirement to stripe the parking area. Hearing: 'Monday, July 15, 1996 at 9:00 a.m. in Rm. 118,

LAWRENCE E. SCHMIDT Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

Old Courthouse.

NOTES: (1) Hearings are Hardicapped Accessible; for spacial accommodations Please Gall 887-3553.
(2) For information concerning the File and/or Hearing, Please Call 887-3391.

6/289 June 27

062917

# CERTIFICATE OF PUBLICATION

TOWSON, MD.,_
(0)
27 , 1996

weeks, the first publication appearing on _ in Towson, Baltimore County, Md., once in each of published in THE JEFFERSONIAN, a weekly newspaper published THIS IS TO CERTIFY, that the annexed advertisement was successive . 199%.

THE JEFFERSONIAN,

LEGAL AD. - IC. TOWN

LEGAL AD. - TOWSON



Baltimore County
Department of Permits and
Development Management

Development Processing County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

### ZONING HEARING ADVERTISING AND POSTING REQUIREMENTS & PROCEDURES

Baltimore County zoning regulations require that notice be given to the general public/neighboring property owners relative to property which is the subject of an upcoming zoning hearing. For those petitions which require a public hearing, this notice is accomplished by posting a sign on the property and placement of a notice in at least one newspaper of general circulation in the County.

This office will ensure that the legal requirements for posting and advertising are satisfied. However, the petitioner is responsible for the costs associated with these requirements.

### PAYMENT WILL BE MADE AS FOLLOWS:

- 1) Posting fees will be accessed and paid to this office at the time of filing.
- 2) Billing for legal advertising, due upon receipt, will come from and should be remitted directly to the newspaper.

NON-PAYMENT OF ADVERTISING FEES WILL STAY ISSUANCE OF ZONING ORDER.

ARNOLD JABLON, DIRECTOR
For newspaper advertising:
Item No.: 497 Petitioner: Francis T. Leyden
Location: 1936 Rettman Lane
PLEASE FORWARD ADVERTISING BILL TO:
NAME: John V. Murphy
ADDRESS: 14 N. Rolling Roal
Catonsville MD 21228
PHONE NUMBER: (410) 744-4967



TO: PUTUXENT PUBLISHING COMPANY
June 27, 1996 Issue - Jeffersonian

Please foward billing to:

John V. Murphy, Esq. 14 N. Rolling Road Catonsville, Maryland 21228 744-4967

NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore County, will hold a public hearing on the property identified herein in Room 106 of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

or

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 96-497-SPHXA (Item 497)

1936 Rettman Lane

corner of NE/S Stansbury Road and SE/S Hydranger Drive

12th Election District - 7th Councilmanic

Legal Owner(s): Francis T. Leyden

Special Hearing to approve vehicular access through the R.C.-20 zone to the commercial recreational facility proposed and to allow the commercial recreational facility uses as shown on the plat within the 100-foot area specified.

Special Exception for commercial recreational facility.

Variance to allow crusher run driveway and parking areas in lieu of the required durable and dustless surface and to waive the requirement to stripe the parking area.

HEARING: MONDAY, JULY 15, 1996 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 118, Old Courthouse.

LAWRENCE E. SCHMIDT ZONING COMMISSIONER FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

NOTES: (1) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353.

(2) FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, PLEASE CALL 887-3391.





Development Processing County Office Building 111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, Maryland 21204

June 20, 1996

### NOTICE OF HEARING

The Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, by authority of the Zoning Act and Regulations of Baltimore

One of the County Office Building, 111 W. Chesapeake Avenue in Towson, Maryland 21204

or

Room 118, Old Courthouse, 400 Washington Avenue, Towson, Maryland 21204 as follows:

CASE NUMBER: 96-497-SPHXA (Item 497)

1936 Rettman Lane

corner of NE/S Stansbury Road and SE/S Hydranger Drive

12th Election District - 7th Councilmanic

Legal Owner(s): Francis T. Leyden

Special Hearing to approve vehicular access through the R.C.-20 zone to the commercial recreational facility proposed and to allow the commercial recreational facility uses as shown on the plat within the 100-foot area specified.

Special Exception for commercial recreational facility.

Variance to allow crusher run driveway and parking areas in lieu of the required durable and dustless surface and to waive the requirement to stripe the parking area.

HEARING: MONDAY, JULY 15, 1996 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 118, Old Courthouse.

Arnold Jablon Director


cc: Francis T. Leyden
John V. Murphy, Esq.

NOTES: (1) ZONING SIGN & POST MUST BE RETURNED TO RM. 104, 111 W. CHESAPEAKE AVENUE ON THE HEARING DATE.

- (2) HEARINGS ARE HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBLE; FOR SPECIAL ACCOMMODATIONS PLEASE CALL 887-3353.
- (3) FOR INFORMATION CONCERING THE FILE AND/OR HEARING, CONTACT THIS OFFICE AT 887-3391.

MEDROFILMED





Development Processing
County Office Building
111 West Chesapeake Avenue
Towson, Maryland 21204

July 10, 1996

John V. Murphy, Esquire 14 N. Rolling Road Catonsville, MD 21228

RE: Item No.: 497

Case No.: 96-497-SPHXA

Petitioner: Francis T. Leyden

Dear Mr. Murphy:

The Zoning Advisory Committee (ZAC), which consists of representatives from Baltimore County approval agencies, has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition, which was accepted for processing by Permits and Development Management (PDM), Zoning Review, on May 14, 1996.

Any comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition are attached. These comments are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties (zoning commissioner, attorney, petitioner, etc.) are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case. Only those comments that are informative will be forwarded to you; those that are not informative will be placed in the permanent case file.

If you need further information or have any questions regarding these comments, please do not hesitate to contact the commenting agency or Roslyn Eubanks in the zoning office (887-3391).

Sincerely,

W. Carl Richards, Jr. Zoning Supervisor

WCR/re
Attachment(s)



### BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

### INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO:

Arnold Jablon, Director

Date: June 28, 1996

Department of Permits & Development

Management

FROM:

Robert W. Bowling, Chief

Development Plans Review Division

SUBJECT: Zoning Advisory Committee Meeting

For July 1, 1996 Item No. 497

The Development Plans Review Division has reviewed the subject zoning item. This proposal is subject to the Landscape Manual. The Developer should contact this office for specific requirements.

RWB:HJO:jrb

cc: File



### BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

### INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

**DATE:** July 9, 1996

TO:

Arnold Jablon, Director

Permits and Development

Management

FROM:

Pat Keller, Director

Office of Planning

SUBJECT:

Petitions from Zoning Advisory Committee

The Office of Planning has no comments on the following petition(s):

Item Nos. 497, 501, 509, 510, 512, 514, 515, 517, 518, 519 and 520

Cany L. Kens

If there should be any further questions or if this office can provide additional information, please contact Jeffrey Long in the Office of Planning at 887-3495.

Prepared by:

Division Chief.

PK/JL

### Baltimore County Government Fire Department



700 East Joppa Road Towson, MD 21286-5500

Office of the Fire Marshal (410) 887-4880

DATE: 06/26/96

Arnold Jablon
Director
Zoning Administration and
Development Management
Baltimore County Office Building
Towson, MD 21204
MAIL STOP-1105

RE: Property Owner: SEE BELOW

Location: DISTRIBUTION MEETING OF JUNE 24, 1996.

Item No.: SEE BELOW

Zoning Agenda:

### Gentlemen:

Pursuant to your request, the referenced property has been surveyed by this Bureau and the comments below are applicable and required to be corrected or incorporated into the final plans for the property.

8. The Fire Marshal's Office has no comments at this time.

IN REFERENCE TO THE FOLLOWING ITEM NUMBERS: 490, 491, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498 and 499.

2 1533

REVIEWER: LT. ROBERT P. SAUERWALD

Fire Marshal Office, PHONE 887-4881, MS-1102F

cc: File

Printed with Soybean Ink
on Recycled Paper

MICROFILMED



David L. Winstead Secretary Hal Kassoff Administrator

Ms. Joyce Watson Baltimore County Office of Permits and Development Management County Office Building, Room 109 Towson, Maryland 21204

RE:

6-21-96
Baltimore County Item No. 497 (MJK)

Dear Ms. Watson:

This office has reviewed the referenced plan and we have no objection to approval as the development does not access a State roadway and is not effected by any State Highway Administration projects.

Please contact Bob Small at 410-545-5581 if you have any questions. Thank you for the opportunity to review this plan.

Ronald Burns, Chief Engineering Access Permits

BS

MICROFILMED

My telephone number is ___

*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Franc	cis T. 1 Petitio	_					*					
12th	Election	on Dis	trict,	7th C	Council	manic	*		CASE N	0.96-	497-SP	нха
bury	Rettman Road an	nd SE/	S Hydra	anger	Drive		*		OF BAL	TIMORE	COUNT	Y
1026	PETITION PETITION	ON FOR	VARIA	NCE			*		ZONING	COMMI	SSIONE	R
RE:	PETITIO					<b>.</b>	*		BEFORE	THE		

### ENTRY OF APPEARANCE

Please enter the appearance of the People's Counsel in the abovecaptioned matter. Notice should be sent of any hearing dates or other proceedings in this matter and of the passage of any preliminary or final Order.

PETER MAX ZIMMERMAN

People's Counsel for Baltimore County

Peter Var Zimmeiman

CAROLE S. DEMILIO

Deputy People's Counsel Room 47, Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-2188

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this Iga day of July, 1996, a copy of the foregoing Entry of Appearance was mailed to John V. Murphy, Esquire, 14 N. Rolling Road, Baltimore, MD 21228, attorney for Petitioner.

Poter Mar Zimmerman
PETER MAX ZIMMERMAN

JAN 22 1996

# COUNTY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND LEGISLATIVE SESSION 1996, LEGISLATIVE DAY NO. 2 BILL NO. 21-96

BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL, January 16, 1996	MR.	LOUIS L. DEPAZZO, COUNCIL	MAN	-
		BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL,	January 16, 1996	

A BILL ENTITLED

AN ACT concerning

Commercial Recreational Facilities

Signed by Executive
Feb-22 1996
Effective Date
april 7 1990

FOR the purpose of amending the definition of commercial recreational facilities in the Zoning Regulations and permitting these facilities to be located in certain

manufacturing zones of the County.

BY repealing and re-enacting, with amendments

Section 101, the definition of Commercial Recreational Facilities, Section 241.1, by adding a permitted use, alphabetically, and Sections 248.4.A and 253C. and D.

Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, as amended

WHEREAS, the Baltimore County Council has received a final report from the Planning Board, dated October 19, 1995, concerning the subject legislation and held a public hearing thereon on December 4, 1995, now, therefore

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE COUNTY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

COUNTY, MARYLAND, that Section 101, the Definition of Commercial Recreational

Facilities, Section 241.1, by adding a permitted use, alphabetically, and Sections 248.4.A.

and 253C, and D, of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, as amended, be and they are

EXPLANATION: -

CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW.
[Brackets] indicate matter stricken from existing law.
Strike out indicates matter stricken from bill.
Underlining indicates amendments to bill.

hereby repealed and re-enacted, with amendments, to read as follows:

Section 101 - Definitions.

0

Words used in the present tense include the future; words in the singular number include plural number; the word "shall" is mandatory. For the purposes of these regulations, certain terms and words are defined below:

Commercial Recreation Facilities: Facilities whose principal purpose is to provide space and equipment for non-professional athletic activities. A commercial recreational facility includes, but is not limited to a [health or athletic club;] baseball-batting range or cage; golf-driving range; putting green; miniature golf; athletic field; swimming pool; skating rink or course; baseball, racquetball, tennis or squash court; [bowling alley;] archery range or similar facility or any combination of the above. For the purpose of these regulations, a commercial recreational facility shall not include a rifle, pistol, skeet, or trap range, go-cart course, amusement park, or similar use.

M.R. Zone - Manufacturing Restricted

Section 241 - Use Regulations

241.1 - The following uses are permitted, provided their operations are entirely within enclosed buildings except where approval of the development plan indicates otherwise:

COMMERCIAL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

	· ·
ì	M.L.R. Zone - Manufacturing Light, Restricted
2	Section 248 - Use Regulations
3	248.4 - Special Exceptions - When permitted as special exceptions (see
<u>‡</u>	Sections 270 and 502):
5	A. The following uses:
6 .	Airstrips.
. 7	Automotive-service station, subject to the provisions of Section 405.
3	Boat yard (including marine railway).
9	Excavations, controlled (see Section 403).
10	Heliport, Type II.
11	Public utility uses other than those noted in Section 200.11 excluding steam power plant.
13 14	Riding stable (commercial) [,golf driving range, miniature golf, baseball batting range; as interim income-producing uses].
15	- Sanitary or rubble landfills (see Section 412).
16	Sludge disposal facility - Co-landfilling (see Section 412.A2.A).
17	Sludge disposal facility - Composting (see Section 412.A2.B).
18	Sludge disposal facility - Handling in general (see Section 412.A2.C).
19	Sludge disposal facility - Incineration (see Section 412.A2.D).
20	Sludge disposal facility - Landspreading (see Section 412.A2.E).
21 -	Volunteer fire company.

Wireless transmitting or receiving structure not permitted by Section 426.

Section 253 M.L. Zone (Manufacturing, Light)

Use Regulations.

C. The following interim uses, provided that it is shown by the petitioner and verified by the director of public works that public sewerage and water-supply facilities will not be available to the site of any such use for a period of at least two years after the time the petition is heard, and provided, further, that any such use shall be discontinued and the grant of the special exception shall expire on a date within a year after such time as public sewerage and water-supply facilities do become available to the site, as shall be more particularly stipulated in the order granting the special exception:

- Amusement parks;
- [2. Baseball-batting ranges;]
- [3.] 2. Farms, or limited-acreage wholesale flower farms:
- [4. Golf-driving ranges;]
- [5.] 3. Kennels;
- [6. Miniature-golf courses;]
- [7.] 4. Radio or television broadcasting studios;
- [8.] 5. Racetracks;
- [9.] 6. Riding stables, commercial;
- [10.] 7. Shooting ranges;
- [11.] 8. Trailers, non-accessory, subject to the provisions of Section 415, except that trailer parks are not permitted;

1	[12.] 9. Osed-motor Vernicle odidoor sales aleas, and
2	[13.] 10. Veterinarians' offices.
3	D. The following miscellaneous uses:
4	1. Signs, outdoor-advertising (see Section 413.3);
5 6	Wireless transmitting or receiving structure not permitted by Section 426; and
7 8 9	3. After-Hours Club, provided that it is located at least 1,000 feet from any residentially-used dwelling, residential zone line, church, park, child care center, or school existing prior to the filing of the application for special exception.
10	4. COMMERCIAL RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.
11	SECTION 2. And be it further enacted that this Act shall take effect forty-five days
12	from the date of its enactment.
13	COMREC.BIL

### PETITIONER(S) SIGN-IN SHEET

NAME	ADDRESS
	<del></del>
Janes Thenthe John MURPHY Yaul Lee	1934 Retturbe LB
John MURPHY	14 N. RohhING RD BM 2123
Yaul Lee	304 W. Perry love are me
<del></del>	<del></del>
<del></del>	

